



reviews de novo only those proposed findings of fact or conclusions of law to which a party specifically objects. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The amended deadline to object to the Report was April 21, 2020, and Plaintiff filed no objections. The Magistrate Judge found that Plaintiff did not provide “fair notice of what the . . . claim is.” (ECF No. 24, PageID 24) (quoting Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)). The Court has reviewed the Complaint (ECF No. 1) and agrees. The Court has also reviewed the Report for clear error and finds none. Therefore, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge’s Report. Plaintiff’s claim is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to state a claim.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED**, this 13th day of May, 2020.

s/ Sheryl H. Lipman  
SHERYL H. LIPMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff also filed a Motion to Appoint Counsel. (ECF No. 8.) There is no right to appointed counsel in civil cases, including litigants proceeding in forma pauperis. See Lanier v. Bryant, 332 F.3d 999, 1006 (6th Cir. 2003) (“The appointment of counsel in a civil proceeding is not a constitutional right and is justified only in exceptional circumstances.”) Because there are no exceptional circumstances here, the Motion is **DENIED**.